1	James P. Keenley (State Bar No. 253106) Emily A. Bolt (State Bar No. 253109)	
2	BOLT · KEENLEY - ATTORNEYS AT LAW	
3	1010 Grayson Street, Suite Two Oakland, California 94710	
4	Phone: (510) 225-0696 Fax: (510) 225-1095	
5	Tax. (310) 223-1093	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	Linda M. Lawson (Bar No. 77130) llawson@mmhllp.com	
8	Allison Vana (Bar No. 228282)	
9	avana@mmhllp.com MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP	
10	300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3185	
11	Telephone: (213) 620-0300 Facsimile: (213) 625-1930	
12	Attorneys for Defendant	
13	THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPAIOF AMERICA	NY
14	OF AMERICA	
15	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
16	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION
17		
17 18	Linda DOLAN,) Case No.: CV 11-1562 (PJH)
	,	
18	Linda DOLAN, Plaintiff,)) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF
18 19	,)) STIPULATION REGARDING
18 19 20	Plaintiff, v. THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF) REVIEW; [PROPOSED] ORDER
18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF) REVIEW; [PROPOSED] ORDER
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a New Jersey corporation,) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF) REVIEW; [PROPOSED] ORDER
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a New Jersey) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF) REVIEW; [PROPOSED] ORDER
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a New Jersey corporation,) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF) REVIEW; [PROPOSED] ORDER
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a New Jersey corporation,) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF) REVIEW; [PROPOSED] ORDER
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a New Jersey corporation,) STIPULATION REGARDING) DISCOVERY AND THE STANDARD OF) REVIEW; [PROPOSED] ORDER

WHEREAS, on September 1, 2011, the parties participated in a case management conference during which the Court ordered the parties to resolve issues pertaining to the applicable standard of review in 60 days; and

WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred regarding the standard of review and related issues and have reached an agreement as to the applicable standard of review and scope of discovery;

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AS FOLLOWS:

The parties agree that the Court should apply a *de novo* standard of review to Plaintiff's claim and, if this stipulation is approved by the Court, that no discovery will be conducted. The parties reserve the right to bring evidentiary motions related to the content of the administrative record within the motions deadline already established by the Court.

Dated: November 1, 2011

BOLT · KEENLEY - ATTORNEYS AT LAW

By: /s/ James P. Keenley James P. Keenley Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: November 1, 2011

MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP

By: /s/ Allison Vana Linda M. Lawson Allison Vana Attorneys for Defendant

> THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

CASE No. CV 11-1562 (PJH)

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION

The Court, having considered the submissions of the parties and good cause showing, approves the parties' stipulation as to the appropriate standard of review and scope of discovery. The Court will apply a *de novo* standard of review to Plaintiff's claim and there will be no discovery by either party.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/3/11

